

# Global Journal of Business, Economics and Management



Volume 06, Issue 2, (2016) 154-163

www.wjbem.eu

# Situation analysis of the timber producers in Turkey Central Anatolia region

**Mehmet Colak**<sup>\*</sup>, Department of Wood Working Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Technology, Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Kotekli Campus, 4800, Mugla, Turkey.

**Tahsin Cetin**, Department of Wood Working Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Technology, Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Kotekli Campus, 4800, Mugla, Turkey.

# Suggested Citation:

Colak, M. & Cetin, T. (2016). Situation analysis of the timber producers in Turkey Central Anatolia Region. *Global Journal of Business, Economics and Management: Current Issues.* 6(2), 154-163

Received July 17, 2016; revised September 10, 2016; accepted November 27, 2016; Selection and peer review under responsibility of Zehra Ozcinar, Ataturk Teacher Training Academy. <sup>©</sup>2016 SciencePark Research, Organization & Counseling. All rights reserved.

# Abstract

It is necessary for producers to use their economic resources and integrate to foreign markets in order to survive. By doing so, they contribute to national economic growth and provide employment opportunities. Since it is crucial for a country to make use of the present raw material, we analysed the common problems that timber producers face and tried to find solutions to these problems. For this purpose, we conducted this study using the survey technique on timber producers in the provinces of the Central Anatolian Region. According to data we obtained, 89% of the producers use natural drying method, all of them determine product prices according to the cost, and process a minimum of 15 m3 of timber daily. The fact that the most of the participants were either elementary school or high school graduates shows that the education level of this industry is insufficient compared to other enterprises. Also, 98.2% of the participants didn't export their products. This is a serious concern and possesses a danger for the future of the enterprises.

Keywords: forest product industry, raw issues, timber production, business problems.

<sup>\*</sup> ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: **Mehmet Colak**, Department of Wood Working Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Technology, Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Kotekli Campus, 4800, Mugla, Turkey. *E-mail address*: <u>cmehmet@mu.edu.tr</u> / Tel.: +0-252-211-1704

### 1. Introduction

The production industry, which is the main pillar of the economic development, has a major role in a country's production and employment rates. Both across the country and within the regional restructuring, the production industry underlies the balanced development and it consists of various activity areas formed by different groups. These groups have production values of various properties and shape the economic growth (Berber, 1996). In order to eliminate the differences between regions in terms of development, which is an outcome of the inequality of resources, we must analyze enterprises of the production industry in detail and make use of existing resources in the best way possible (Akyuz, 2000).

These enterprises carry out their activities in terms of economy, technology and social environment. The success and thus the survival of these enterprises largely depends on to what extent they can foresee and seize the possible constraints and opportunities around them. The phenomenon of globalism created a competition environment which is more fierce compared to the past. In this environment, enterprises need to adopt to the changes and innovate in order to survive and profit The mobility of the environment and the complicated external factors increase the uncertainty of the environment. On the other hand, with the increasing intense competition in the international economy, enterprises are in danger of shutting down (Demir & Sutcu, 2002). It is possible to say that the technological capacity of the Turkish economy depends mainly on import and adaptation of this imported technology to the local conditions. The technological structure is mostly up-to-date, the technologies produced in foreign countries are followed closely, and these are adapted to the local conditions (Sariaslan, 1996). Wood processing industries are mainly composed of small enterprises although there are a few medium-scale companies. Many small-scale furniture enterprises and sawmills, with labor-intensive technologies, provide employment and income opportunities in the poor, rural areas and, as such, the sector is of importance with respect to employment creation and reducing regional differences in income distribution (Aksu,Koc & Kurtoglu, 2011).

These SMEs try to improve in terms of technology. We can see that the number of SMEs who can invent technologies, make innovations and use high technologies increases rapidly. Accordingly, a considerable part of the inventions are made by these SMEs and this increases their competitiveness and export opportunities (Fusunoglu, 1993). Because of the problems regarding high quality raw material supply in recent years, enterprises can't acquire sufficient high quality raw material and can't prevent the disruptions caused by the changes in prices, thus continue their activities with their limited market structures. Changes and developments in social structure brought about an increase in demand for industrial wood products, accordingly, an increase in production, import and export of forest products (Kurtoglu, Koc, Erdinler & Sofuoglu, 2009). Rapid population growth and consumer pressure caused an increase in the demand for natural resources and this created the necessity of efficient, effective and sustainable management of forest resources which is declining because of unconscious and excessive use. Forests, which are renewable natural resources, should be managed with modern, sustainable methods in order to optimize the efficiency and meet the demands of today's and future generations (Akay et al., 2007). In our country, wood raw materials, which are the Government Forest Enterprises' (GFE) main sources of income, should be produced using sustainable and appropriate methods (SPO, 2006).

Increasing competition conditions and consumer demands force forest enterprises to sell their products for lower prices (Caliskan, 2008). Accordingly, if we consider that the demand for forest products will increase in our country and across the world, it is obvious that even the slightest drop in wood raw material shouldn't be ignored (Acar & Senturk, 1996). In our country, there are 21.2 million hectares of forest, half of which is coniferous and the other half is leafy, covering 27.2% of the surface area (Anonymous, 2007). In forest industry, enterprises acquire wood raw material from Forest Enterprises. Considering these, there is an important relationship between forest industry and forest enterprises (Gultekin, Kayacan & Koc, 2009). According to General Directorate of Forestry data, 7-8 million m3 of industrial wood is produced. It is thought that firewood production from state-owned

forests dropped from 20 million stere to 7,5 million stere in the last 30 years and the production of firewood will decrease (Kaplan, 2008).

The round wood consumption is around 12-13 million m3 in Turkey, and 75% of this consumption is met from state-owned forests. The remaining 25% is met from private forests and from areas that are smaller than 3 hectares and not considered as forests or by importing rapid growing trees (Kok, 2009). As wood raw material; log, wire pole, mine pole, industrial wood and firewood are produced in Turkey (Acar & Senturk, 2000). Among these wood raw materials, log takes the first place in terms of production amount and economic value. As of 2008, the total amount and the economic value of produced timber is approximately 34.19% and 49% of the the total amount and the economic value of produced wood raw material respectively (GDF, 2009).

Timber production is done by private enterprises in Turkey. Some of these enterprises use modern technologies and methods. But an important part of these enterprises use low capacity machines with old technologies and usually operate seasonally. These enterprises are usually micro-sized enterprises and have less than 10 employees. While main processes are done using labor force and/or simple machines and there are no special machines or facilities in primitive production facilities, in modern production facilities hydraulic, pneumatic, numerical or computer-controlled machines are used on all stages of the production (Sakarya & Canli, 2011). World production of wood based panel has grown from 63,1 million cubic meters in 2005 to 75,5 million m3 in 2009, a 12 million m3 rise in production. China is the world's largest wood based panel's manufacturer. The Turkish wood based panels industry has shown a tendency of fluctuating during this time. Turkey, as the world's 4th largest producer of wood based panels, is an important contributor to the industry. Turkey has made great strides in the last 20 years in the forest products industry. Both the development of new materials and techniques of domestic and international market demand have played a decisive role. Turkey's wood panel production has gone from 100000 m3 in the early 2000s, to 5.5 million m<sup>3</sup> of production in 2010. The sector's total production capacity is approximately 9 million m<sup>3</sup> (Yildirim, Candan & Korkut, 2014).

In Turkey, approximately 70% of timber products are used in construction, 20% is used in furniture, and 10% is used in packaging and other industries. The timber consumption per capita in Turkey is between 0.075m<sup>3</sup> and 0.085 m<sup>3</sup>. The estimated value of a machine park necessary for a timber factory is about \$250.000, and the necessary area for such a machine part is about 1.100 m<sup>2</sup>. Assuming 15 employees work for 8 hours in a single shift, depending on the saw and timber type, the monthly timber production capacity is a approximately 2000-3000 m<sup>3</sup>. The timber production per capita in Turkey is less than 0.1 m<sup>3</sup> (Sakarya & Canli, 2011). In recent years the use of wood-based panels such as fiberboard, particleboard, oriented strandboard, plywood etc., for several applications has been gaining great importance due to their properties (Ustaomer & Usta, 2012). The forest products industry which has various problems such as lack of demand, the shortage of funding, lack of marketing organization and bureaucracy (Cindik, Akyuz & Serin, 2002) continue their productions facing problems especially in terms of raw material supply and use (Serin, 1997). The purpose of this study is to point out these problems and create awareness.

# 2. Material And Method

#### 2.1. The Purpose of the Study

The main hypothesis of this study is to determine the factors that affect the timber producers' situation in the sector. We conducted this study in order to determine, analyze and create awareness about the timber producers' sectoral situation. The reason we chose to analyze the timber producers' situation is as follows;

| -Marketing activities based on price, | <ul> <li>Raw material selection based on quality,</li> </ul> |
|---------------------------------------|--|
|---------------------------------------|--|

-Production activities based on experience, -Machinery and equipment use based on technology

We used "the survey technique" in order to obtain data. The data covers the 2012-13 activities of the enterprises. The survey was done on the enterprises in the provinces of Central Anatolian Region. The participant enterprises were picked using the random sampling method. A total of 165 enterprises participated to the survey and we used the face-to-face interview method. Each enterprise filled only one survey.

# 2.2. Evaluation of graphical findings on timber producers

We used practical methods in order to evaluate the graphical findings. The obtained data is given in the following tables below.

| Table 1. Demographic C                       | Characteristics | s of Enterp | orises       |      |  |
|--|-----------------|-------------|--------------|------|--|
| Operating times of the enterprises           | Frequency       |             | Percentage % |      |  |
| 0-3 Years                                    | 0               |             | 0            |      |  |
| 4-7 Years                                    | 9               |             | 5.5          |      |  |
| 8-11 Years                                   |                 | 69          |              | 4.,8 |  |
| 12 and More                                  |                 | 87          |              | 52.7 |  |
| The total number of people working in the e  | enterprises     |             |              |      |  |
| 1-9 Persons                                  |                 | 0           |              | 0    |  |
| 10-14 Persons                                |                 | 21          |              | 12.7 |  |
| 15-19 Persons                                |                 | 90          |              | 54.5 |  |
| 20-24 Persons                                |                 | 42          |              | 25.5 |  |
| 25 and More                                  |                 | 12          |              | 7.3  |  |
| The number of industrial vocational high scl | hool            |             |              |      |  |
| graduates working in the enterprises         |                 |             |              |      |  |
| 1-2 Persons                                  |                 | 45          |              | 27,2 |  |
| 3-4 Persons                                  |                 | 48          |              | 29   |  |
| 5-6 Persons                                  |                 | 3           |              | 1,8  |  |
| The number of high school graduates worki    | ng in the en    | terprises   |              |      |  |
| 1-2 Persons                                  | -               | 48          |              | 10   |  |
| 3-4 Persons                                  |                 | 12          |              | 7.2  |  |
| 5 and More                                   |                 | 3           |              | 1.8  |  |
| The number of elementary school graduate     | s working in    | the ente    | rprises      |      |  |
| 1-9 Persons                                  |                 | 0           |              | 0    |  |
| 10-14 Persons                                |                 | 54          |              | 32.7 |  |
| 15-19 Persons                                |                 | 87          |              | 52.7 |  |
| 20 and More                                  |                 | 24          |              | 14.6 |  |
| The situation of the floor on which the timb | er and logs     | are kept    |              |      |  |
| Concrete                                     | 153             |             | 92.7         |      |  |
| Gravel                                       |                 | 12          |              | 7.3  |  |
| Soil   |                 | 0           |              | 0    |  |
| The height of the timber and log pile        |                 |             |              |      |  |
| 0-10 cm                                      |                 | 35          |              | 21.2 |  |
| 11-20 cm                                     | 45              |             | 27.2         |      |  |
| 21-30 cm                                     | 55              |             | 33.3         |      |  |
| 31-40 cm                                     | 30              |             | 18.3         |      |  |
| The layout of the area in which the timber a | and logs are    |             |              |      |  |
| kept according to climate                    |                 |             |              |      |  |
| There is                                     |                 | 165         |              | 100  |  |
| There isn't                                  |                 | 0           |              | 0    |  |
| The places that enterprises stock the timber | r               |             |              |      |  |
| In the Warehouse                             | 9               |             | 5.5          |      |  |
| In The Workplace                             | 144             |             | 87.3         |      |  |
| In The Open Field                            | 12              |             | 7.2          |      |  |
| Total  |                 | 165         |              | 100  |  |

Evaluating the results of the surveys (Table 1), it is seen that 52.7% of the enterprises participated in the survey have been carrying out their activities for at least 12 years. This suggests that these enterprises have a considerable amount of sectorial experience. 54.5% of the enterprises participated in the survey had 15-19 employees. Considering the educational background of the employees, 56.2% of the enterprises had 1-2 or 3-4 industrial vocational high school graduates, 17.2% of the enterprises had 1-2 or 3-4 high school graduates and 52.7% of the enterprises had 15-19 elementary school graduates. From this data, we can conclude that most of the enterprises had qualified employees. 92.7% of the enterprises preferred concrete floor and 7.3% of the enterprises preferred gravel floor to pile the logs and timbers. 100% of the enterprises designed the layout of the area where logs and timbers are kept according to climate. 5.5% of the enterprises stored the timber in a warehouse, 87.3% in the workplace and 7.2% in an open field. We can conclude that the physical structures of the enterprises are suitable for timber processing and production.

Table2. The Machinery Information of the Enterprises

| The machinery investments of the enterprises (TL) |          |                    |  |  |  |
|---|----------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| I   | Frequenc | cy Percentage (%)  |  |  |  |
| 0-299.000   | 3        | 1.8                |  |  |  |
| 300.000-399.000                                   | 33       | 20                 |  |  |  |
| 400.000-499.000                                   | 96       | 58.2               |  |  |  |
| 500.000 and More                                  | 33       | 20                 |  |  |  |
| The machines used in timber cutting               |          |                    |  |  |  |
| Band Saw  | 66       | 40                 |  |  |  |
| Multi-blade                                       | 141      | 85                 |  |  |  |
| Thicknesser                                       | 6        | 4                  |  |  |  |
| Planer  | 159      | 96                 |  |  |  |
| Header  | 165      | 100                |  |  |  |
| Band Grinder                                      | 162      | 98                 |  |  |  |
| Band Welder                                       | 159      | 96                 |  |  |  |
| Scroll Saw  | 165      | 100                |  |  |  |
| Circular Saw                                      | 156      | 95                 |  |  |  |
| Band Saw with Carriage                            | 165      | 100                |  |  |  |
| Circular Saw Grinder                              | 3        | 1.81               |  |  |  |
| The machinery repair and maintenance m            | ethods c | of the enterprises |  |  |  |
| By the worker who operates                        |          |                    |  |  |  |
| the machine                                       | 0        | 0                  |  |  |  |
| By the existing repair and                        |          |                    |  |  |  |
| maintenance unit                                  | 9        | 16                 |  |  |  |
| By calling the service                            | 46       | 84                 |  |  |  |
| The grinding methods of the enterprises           |          |                    |  |  |  |
| By the grinding maintenance unit                  | 53       | 96                 |  |  |  |
| Outsourcing                                       | 2        | 4                  |  |  |  |
| The grinding machines used by the enterprises     |          |                    |  |  |  |
| Band Saw Grinder                                  | 162      | 98                 |  |  |  |
| Band Saw Welding                                  | 159      | 96                 |  |  |  |
| Circular Saw Grinder                              | 3        | 1.81               |  |  |  |
| Total   | 165      | 100                |  |  |  |

The machinery investments of the enterprises (TL)

As can be seen in Table 2, 58,2% of the enterprises invested 400.000-499.999 TL on machinery, 20% invested 300.000–399.999 and finally 21,8% invested more than 500.000 TL. Most of the timber producers called the service for the repair and maintenance of the machines. 96% of the enterprises used their own grinding unit in order to grind the blades, while 4% met their needs by outsourcing. All of the enterprises participated in the study had a header, a scroll saw, band saw and a band saw with carriage. These were the most widely used machines in timber production

| The timber types used by the enterprises |                  |               |  |  |
|--|------------------|---------------|--|--|
|  | Frequency        | Percentage %  |  |  |
| Red Pine                                 | 117              | 71            |  |  |
| Black Pine                               | 42               | 25            |  |  |
| Imported Pine                            | 51               | 31            |  |  |
| The timber storage times of the enter    | prises           |               |  |  |
| 1-30 Days                                | 25               | 15            |  |  |
| 31-89 Days                               | 75               | 46            |  |  |
| 90-179 Days                              | 20               | 12            |  |  |
| 180-365 Days                             | 40               | 24            |  |  |
| 365 and Up                               | 5                | 3             |  |  |
| The conditions that costumers take in    | to account while | buying timber |  |  |
| Favorable Terms of Payment               | 102              | 61.8          |  |  |
| Affordable Prices                        | 109              | 66            |  |  |
| Timber With Qualities That Fi            | ts the Purpose   |               |  |  |
| of Production                            | 97               | 58.7          |  |  |
| Quality                                  | 80               | 48.5          |  |  |
| The drying furnace utilization rates of  | the enterprises  |               |  |  |
| Yes                                      | 18               | 11            |  |  |
| No                                       | 147              | 89            |  |  |
| The drying methods used by the enter     | prises           |               |  |  |
| Natural drying                           | 147              | 89            |  |  |
| Ventilation Systems                      | 0                | 0             |  |  |
| Drying Furnaces                          | 18               | 11            |  |  |
| The timbers that are dried in the dryir  | ng furnaces      |               |  |  |
| Red Pine                                 | 9                | 50            |  |  |
| Black Pine                               | 3                | 16.6          |  |  |
| Imported Pine                            | 6                | 33.4          |  |  |
| Total                                    | 165              | 100           |  |  |

Table 3. The Raw Material Product Processes Used by the Enterprises

As can be seen in Table 3, with 71% calabrian pine was the most widely used timber type, imported pine was the second with 31%, and black pine took the third 3rd place with 25%. 89% of the enterprises participated in the survey didn't use a drying furnace. The most widely used drying method was natural drying with 89%, while 11% or the enterprises used a drying furnace. 50% of the enterprises dried calabrian pine in the drying furnace, while 33.4% dried imported pine and 16.6% dried black pine.

|                                    |            | v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v | e Processes | s of the Enterprises |
|------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| The open field area of the enterpr | rises (m   | )                                     |             |                      |
|                                    | Fre        | quency                                | Percenta    | ge %                 |
| 0-499                              |            | 0                                     |             | 0                    |
| 500-999 0                          | )          |                                       | 0           |                      |
| 1000-1499                          |            | 0                                     |             | 0                    |
| 1500-1999                          |            | 12                                    |             | 7.3                  |
| 2000-2499                          |            | 36                                    |             | 21.8                 |
| 2500-2999                          |            | 78                                    |             | 47.3                 |
| 3000 and More                      |            | 39                                    |             | 23.6                 |
| The closed field area of the enter | prises (m  | 1 <sup>2</sup> )                      |             |                      |
| 0-499                              |            | 0                                     |             | 0                    |
| 500-999 1                          | 18         |                                       | 11          |                      |
| 1000-1499                          |            | 102                                   |             | 61.8                 |
| 1500-1999                          |            | 39                                    |             | 23.6                 |
| 2000 and More                      |            | 6                                     |             | 3.6                  |
| The pile mounting use of the ente  | rprises    |                                       |             |                      |
| Yes                                |            | 159                                   |             | 96.4                 |
| No                                 |            | 6                                     |             | 3.6                  |
| The methods used by the enterpr    | ises in o  | rder to ma                            | intain      |                      |
| the timbers in the warehouse       |            |                                       |             |                      |
| Direction of the wind 3            | 30         |                                       | 54.54       |                      |
| Direction of the sun 1             | 2          |                                       | 21.81       |                      |
| Logs and timbers                   |            | 15                                    |             | 27.27                |
| Against the Cracks 4               | 11         |                                       | 74.54       |                      |
| The methods used by the enterpr    | ises in oi | rder to pile                          | logs and ti | mbers                |
| Timber is used                     |            | 129                                   | -0          | 78.2                 |
| Piling equipment is use            | ed         | 36                                    |             | 21.8                 |
| The Piling methods used by the er  | nterprise  | s                                     |             |                      |
| Crate Stacking                     |            | 162                                   |             | 98.2                 |
| Cross Stacking                     |            | 3                                     |             | 1.8                  |
| The points taken into account whi  | ile piling | 0                                     |             | 210                  |
| Direction of the wind 5            | 51         |                                       | 92 72       |                      |
| Proper Sizing                      | -          | 51                                    | 52.72       | 92.72                |
| Direction of the Sun 1             | 3          | 51                                    | 23 63       | 52.72                |
| The timber transportation tools u  | sed hv ti  | ne enternri                           | 505         |                      |
| Forklift                           |            | 144                                   |             | 87.27                |
| Scoop                              |            | 165                                   |             | 100                  |
| Total                              |            | 165                                   |             | 100                  |

As can be seen in Table 4, 13% of the enterprises used impregnation, while 87% didn't. All the enterprises pressure impregnation, full-cell impregnation and empty-cell impregnation method were used. The vehicles used in order to transport timbers from production to cutting were forklifts and excavators.

| Table 5. The Impregnation Processes of the Enterprises |           |              |  |  |
|--|-----------|--------------|--|--|
| The impregnation facility use of the enterprises       |           |              |  |  |
|  | Frequency | Percentage % |  |  |
| Yes  | 21        | 13           |  |  |
| No   | 144       | 87           |  |  |
| The impregnation methods used by the enterprises       |           |              |  |  |
| High Freguence   | 0         | 0            |  |  |
| Pressure İmpregnation                                  | 7         | 33.3         |  |  |
| Oscillation  | 0         | 0            |  |  |
| Full-Cell method                                       | 7         | 33.3         |  |  |
| Empty-Cell method                                      | 7         | 33.3         |  |  |
| Burnett Method   | 0         | 0            |  |  |
| Cellon-Drillon Method                                  | 0         | 0            |  |  |
| Hydro-Jet Method                                       | 0         | 0            |  |  |
| Total  | 165       | 100          |  |  |

As can be seen in Table 5, the enterprises determined timber prices according to the cost. 93.36% of the enterprises sold their products through dealers and 3.63% through sales representatives. The rate of wastage of the enterprises changed between 0% and 25%. The majority of the wastes were sold or used as firewood. 54% of the enterprises used piece wastes as firewood, 6% used them to make decorative objects, 18% recycled with finger joint and 12% used other methods. Thus, the enterprises contribute to recycling by using the wastes according to their needs. 29.1% of the enterprises had a daily log processing capacity of 15-19 m<sup>3</sup>, 32.7% had a daily log processing capacity of 20-24 m<sup>3</sup>, 14.6% had a daily log processing capacity of 25-29 m<sup>3</sup>, and 23.6% had a daily log processing capacity of more than 30 m<sup>3</sup>. 2% of the enterprises had an annual log processing capacity of 0-4999m<sup>3</sup>, 56% had an annual log processing capacity of 5000-7499m<sup>3</sup>, 22% had an annual log processing capacity of more than 10.000 m<sup>3</sup>. Considering the difference between the buying and selling prices of timber, 11% applied a sales difference of 100-149 TL, 60% applied a sales difference of 150-190 TL, 13% applied a sales difference of 200-249 TL and 16% applied a sales difference of more than 250 TL. 18.2% of the participants had a capital of 400.000-599.999 TL, 56.4% had a capital of 600.000–799.999 TL, 18.2% had a capital of 800.000–999.999 TL and 7.2% had a capital of more than 1.000.000 TL.

| The price determination of the enterprises | 5        |                          |      | ·            |  |
|--|----------|--------------------------|------|--------------|--|
|  | Fre      | equency                  |      | Percentage % |  |
| According to the cost                      |          | 165                      |      | 100          |  |
| According to market prices Oth             | er       | 0                        |      | 0            |  |
| The export of the enterprises              |          |                          |      |              |  |
| Yes  |          | 3                        |      | 1.8          |  |
| No   |          | 162                      |      | 98.2         |  |
| The sale methods of the enterprises        |          |                          |      |              |  |
| Directly from the factory or Onl           | ine      | 0                        |      | 0            |  |
| Through sales representatives              | 6        |                          | 3.63 |              |  |
| Through dealers                            |          | 159                      |      | 96.36        |  |
| The rate of wastage of the enterprises dur | ing timb | er producti              | on   |              |  |
| 0-25 %                                     |          | 165                      |      | 100          |  |
| 26-50 %                                    |          | 0                        |      | 0            |  |
| The wastage handling methods used by th    | e enterp | orises                   |      |              |  |
| By selling                                 | 150      |                          | 90.9 |              |  |
| Wood                                       |          | 117                      |      | 70.9         |  |
| Sawdust                                    |          | 18                       |      | 10.9         |  |
| By producing thin plates                   |          | 3                        |      | 1.8          |  |
| The piece waste handling methods used by   | y the en | terprises                |      |              |  |
| By using os firewood                       | 90       |                          | 54   |              |  |
| By making decorative objects               | 12       |                          | 6    |              |  |
| Recycling by finger joint                  |          | 30                       |      | 18           |  |
| Others                                     |          | 20                       |      | 12           |  |
| The annual timber processing amounts of    | the ente | erprises (m <sup>3</sup> | )    |              |  |
| 0-4999                                     |          | 3                        |      | 2            |  |
| 5000-7499                                  |          | 93                       |      | 56           |  |
| 7500-9999                                  |          | 33                       |      | 20           |  |
| 10000 and More                             |          | 36                       |      | 22           |  |
| Total                                      |          | 165                      |      | 100          |  |

As can be seen Table 6, considering the common problems of the timber producers, 12.7% was not being able to find raw material of desired quality, 67% thought raw material prices were too high, 72% thought transportation costs were too high, 60% complained about lack of funding, 49% complained about lack of technology, 35% complained about lack of demand, 7% complained about delays in debt collection, and 6% complained about other issues.

| Table 7. Demographic Information of the Participants |           |              |  |  |
|--|-----------|--------------|--|--|
| The positions of the participants                    | Frequency | Percentage % |  |  |
| Owner  | 129       | 78.2         |  |  |
| Manager  | 24        | 14.6         |  |  |
| Business Partner                                     | 9         | 5.4          |  |  |
| Accountant   | 3         | 1.8          |  |  |
| The ages of the participants                         |           |              |  |  |
| 21-30  | 3         | 1.8          |  |  |
| 31-40  | 30        | 18.2         |  |  |
| 41-50  | 117       | 71           |  |  |
| 51 and Up  | 15        | 9            |  |  |
| The Educational backgrounds of the participants      |           |              |  |  |
| Primary Education                                    | 51        | 30.9         |  |  |
| High School  | 90        | 54.54        |  |  |
| College-University                                   | 24        | 14.54        |  |  |
| Total  | 165       | 100          |  |  |

As can be seen Table 7, 78.2% of the participants representing the enterprise were the owner, 14.6% were the manager, 1.8% were the accountant; 1.8% were between 21-30 years old, 18.2% were between 31-40 years old, 71% were 41-50 years old and 9% were older than 51 years old. The fact that more than 70% of the participants were middle aged or older indicates that these people had a good amount of sectoral experience. 30.9% of the participants representing the enterprise were elementary school graduates, 54.4% were high school graduates and 14.54% were vocational high school or university graduates. 1.8% of these people had 4-7 years of experience, 3.6% had 8-11 years of experience, and 94.6% had more than 12 years of experience.

#### 3. Results, Discussion and Suggestions

The timber producers need to figure out the factors which will minimize the rate of wastage and cut the timber in accordance with these techniques. It is utterly important that the raw materials are used with minimum wastage both for the enterprises' profits and country's economy. In order to minimize the rate of wastage, the timber needs to be cut into shorter pieces with smaller cross sections with minimum waste. The employees need to be skilled, and do their job in the best possible way and also maintain the machinery in the best condition possible. Also, the machinery should be replaced with the new ones according to technological developments, if necessary. The piece wastes can be recycled by being cut using the finger joint machine, thus making them usable. The remaining piece wastes can be used as firewood, thus meeting the enterprises' heating needs.

# **Conflict of Interests** The authors declares no competing interests.

#### References

- Acar, H.H., & Senturk, N. (1996). Removing from the chamber in Artvin Forestry Administration Tools from the pain of the Technical Investigation. *Istanbul University Faculty of Forestry Journal*, Series, *44*, 113–119.
- Acar, H.H., & Senturk, N. (2000). Mechanization of production work in mountainous forest areas. *Istanbul University Faculty of Forestry Journal*, 77–94.
- Aksu, B.K., Koc, H., & Kurtoglu, A. (2011). The forest products industry in Turkey. African Journal of Business Management, 5(6),2363-2369. Received from: <u>http://www.academicjournals.org/AJBM</u>, ISSN 1993-8233©2011

- Akyuz, K.C. (2000). Involved in the Eastern Blacksea Region Small and Medium-Sized Businesses Structural Analysis of Forest Products Industry (PhD Thesis). Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon.
- Anonymous (2007). The Ninth Development Plan, Forestry, Report of the Special Commission, the State Planning Organization. Publication, Num: SPO-2712-OIK-665, Ankara.
- Caliskan, E. (2008). *Transport of raw wood on Forest Road Network Optimization with Simulated Annealing*(PhD Thesis). Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon.
- Cindik, H., Serin, H., & Akyuz, K.C. (2002). Eastern Black Sea and eastern Mediterranean region in the Forest Products Industry Establishments SME Qualifications Socio-Economic Aspects Investigation (Trabzon and Icel Example): 15-18 May II. Proceedings of the National Forestry Congress, Artvin.
- Demir, Y., & Sutcu, A. (2002). After the Crisis of Isparta SMEs Forest Industry Production, Technology and Analysis of Financial Problems. *SDU Faculty of Forestry Journal*, *2*, 79-96
- Fusunoglu, M.(1993) *Industrialization and Technology Policy*. Yearbook of Industrial Development Bank of Turkey, p:209, Ankara.
- Gultekin, Y.S., Kayacan, B., & Ok, K. (2009). Duzce Province of the wood raw material demand on Forest Industry Research, Duzce University. *Journal of Forestry*, *5*(2), 75-94,
- GDF, (2009). General Directorate of Forestry Production and Marketing Activities, 26, Ankara.
- Kaplan, E. (2008). Turkiye'de Orman Urunleri Talebi ile Arz Kaynaklarinin Degerlendirilmesi ve Endustriyel Plantasyonlarin Yeri (Assessment of Demand for Forest Products and Supply Resources and the Place of Industrial Plantations in Turkey). 150th Anniversary of Forestry Education in Turkey: Bottlenecks, Solutions, and Priorities in the Context of Functions of Forest Resources / 17-19 October 2007, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Kok, G. (2009). Supply and Demand for forest products in the world and in Turkey Relations. *II. Socio-Economic Issues in Forestry Congress.* Isparta.ku
- Kurtoglu, A., Koc, K.H., Erdinler, E.S., & Sofuoglu, S.D. (2009) Turkey Structural and Educational Problems of Forest Products Industry. *II. Socio-Economic Issues in Forestry Congress*. Isparta.
- SPO, (2006). VIII. Five-Year Development Plan Report of the Special Commission on Forestry, State Planning Organization, Ankara.
- Sakarya, S., & Canli, S. (2011). Timber Report. The Central Anatolian Exporters Union, Ankara.
- Sariaslan, H. (1996). Turkey's Economy Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises of Manufacturing Industry Business Problems and New Strategies. TOBB Publication, Ankara.
- Serin, H., Akyuz, K., & Cindik, H. (2002) Icel City Small and Medium Forest Products Industry Establishments Structure, Problems and Proposed Solutions. *Gazi University Faculty of Forestry Journal*, 2, 34-46,
- Serin, H. (1997). Icel City Small and Medium Forest Products Industry Establishments Assessment of Socio-Economic Aspects (KTU Institute of Science and Master of Science Thesis), Trabzon.
- Ustaomer, D., & Usta, M. (2011). The effects of boron compounds and different melamine contents in MUF resins on some properties of MDF panels. *BioResources*, 7(1), 0437-0446.
- Yildirim, H.T., Candan, Z., & Korkut, S. (2014). Wood-based panels industry in Turkey: Future raw material challenges and suggestions. *Maderas. Ciencia y tecnologia*, *16*(2), 175-186.