

New Trends and Issues Proceedings on Humanities and Social Sciences



Volume 10, Issue 2, (2023) 01-14

www.prosoc.eu

Selected Papers of 7th International Congress on Nursing (ICON 2023) June 22-24, 2023 Novotel Athens, Athens, Greece

Correlation between health perception and attitude in diabetic patients

Merve Çimen^a, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun 55200, Turkey.

Tuğba Kavalalı Erdoğan¹, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun 55200, Turkey

Zeynep Sağlam, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun 55200, Turkey.

Zeliha Koç, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun 55200, Turkey.

Suggested Citation:

Çimen, M., Erdoğan, T. K., Sağlam, Z. & Koç, Z. (2023). Correlation between health perception and attitude in diabetic patients. New Trends and Issues Proceedings on Humanities and Social Sciences. 10(2), 01-14 <u>https://doi.org/10.18844/prosoc.v10i2.9096</u>

Received from July 15, 2023; revised from August 10, 2023; accepted from September 05, 2023. Selection and peer review under the responsibility of Prof. Dr. Nilgun Sarp, International Final University, Faculty of Health Sciences, North Cyprus

©2023 by the authors. Licensee Birlesik Dunya Yenilik Arastirma ve Yayincilik Merkezi, North Nicosia, Cyprus. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>).

Abstract

Diabetes mellitus is one of the most common health issues in society that is caused by population growth and urbanization, has an ever-growing prevalence depending on lifestyle changes, obesity, and sedentary lifestyle, and causes morbidity and early mortality. This study was conducted as a descriptive correlational study to determine the correlation between the health perception of individuals diagnosed with diabetes mellitus and their attitudes toward diabetes. The study was carried out with the participation of 242 diabetes mellitus patients receiving treatment in a university hospital in Samsun province between 1 August 2017 and 1 February 2018. In the study, the data were collected via a survey form. In the evaluation of the data, percentage calculation, independent samples t-test, one-way analysis of variance, Kruskal-Wallis Test, and Mann-Whitney U Test were used. In line with the findings obtained, it was determined that the individuals diagnosed with diabetes had a moderate level of health perception and positive attitudes toward diabetes.

Keywords: Attitude; diabetes mellitus; health; perception.

^{*} ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Tuğba Kavalalı Erdoğan, Health Science Faculty, Nursing Department, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun 55200, Turkey.

E-mail address: tgbakavalali@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic and metabolic disease that manifests. as a result of insufficient control of blood glucose levels. Diabetes mellitus is one of the most common health issues in society, with high cost due to the risk of morbidity and early mortality, that has an ever-growing prevalence depending on population growth, urbanization, lifestyle changes, obesity, and sedentary lifestyle [1]. It was reported in the 2021 data of the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) that there are approximately 537 million diabetic patients in the world between the ages of 20-79 and this figure is estimated to increase to 643 million by 2030 and to 783 million by 2045. It was further reported in the same report that 13,4 million people between 20-79 years of age were diagnosed with diabetes in our country.

An individual who is faced with a chronic disease such as diabetes should make changes in their lifestyle and provide effective diabetes management and control to prolong their life and improve their quality of life. Effective diabetes management and control depends on the individual's behaviors as well as the beliefs and attitudes towards the disease [2, 3]. Attitudes are long-term emotions, beliefs, and behavioral tendencies. However, individuals may be observed when they reflect their attitudes on their behavior. Positive attitudes and behaviors of individuals constitute the basis of diabetes treatment. Before training is provided to individuals with diabetes, the individual's attitudes and wrong habits should be evaluated and wrong beliefs should be corrected before they turn into behavior [4].

The effectiveness and success of diabetes management are closely related to the health perceptions of patients [5, 6]. Health perception is the sum of the individual's feelings, thoughts, and expectations about health. Positive health perception helps individuals acquire health-promoting behaviors, transform these behaviors into a lifestyle, and improve their well-being and personal development [7-9].

It is extremely important to determine the diabetes attitudes and health perceptions of individuals diagnosed with diabetes, for these individuals to adapt to treatment, cope with the treatment and/or complications, have high life satisfaction and quality, and be able to do self-care and diabetes self-management [10]. Determining the needs of sick individuals to provide holistic nursing care along with planning and implementing care in this direction will also contribute to the improvement of the quality of nursing care [11].

1.1. Purpose of the study

This study was conducted as a descriptive correlational study to determine the correlation between the health perception of the patients hospitalized in the internal clinics of a university hospital and diagnosed with diabetes mellitus and their attitudes toward diabetes. The study mainly sought answers to the following questions:

• What are the socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of patients diagnosed with diabetes?

- What is the level of health perception of patients diagnosed with diabetes?
- What are the attitudes of patients diagnosed with diabetes towards diabetes?

• Is there a correlation between the health perception of patients diagnosed with diabetes and their attitudes towards diabetes?

2. Materials and Methods

This descriptive and correlational study was conducted with patients diagnosed with diabetes who were hospitalized in the internal clinics of a university hospital located in the Central Black Sea Region in northern Turkey.

2.1. Participants and sample

The research was conducted with the participation of 242 patients, determined by the nonprobability sampling method, who were hospitalized in the internal clinics where the research was conducted. Patients who were diagnosed with diabetes had no psychological or mental problems, were able to communicate verbally, and volunteered to participate in the study were included in the research. Patients who refused to participate in the study (n=10) were excluded. The dependent variables of the study are the patients' health perception and their attitude toward diabetes. Independent variables thereof are the socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients.

2.2. Data collection tools

Research data were collected via a survey form that had 29 questions aimed at determining the socio-demographic and clinic characteristics of the patients in addition to the Perception of Health Scale (PHS) and the Diabetes Attitude Scale. The survey was tested via a pilot scheme conducted within a group of 10 people. Patients who participated in the pilot scheme were not included in the research sample. The patients included in the study were explained that the decision about whether or not to participate in the study was entirely their own free will and that the data collected from this study would be used exclusively for the study. Thereafter their verbal informed consent was obtained.

2.2.1. The perception of health scale (PHS)

The Perception of Health Scale (PHS) is a five-point Likert scale developed by Diamond et al. [12] to determine individuals' health perceptions, chronic disease management methods, and beliefs. The validity and reliability of the scale in Turkish was confirmed by Kadıoğlu and Yıldız [8]. The scale consists of a total of 15 items and 4 sub-dimensions, namely, "Center of Control", "Self-Awareness", "Certainty" and "Importance of Health". The scores to be obtained in the scale range between 15 and 75. The Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient of the scale used by Kadıoğlu and Yıldız [8] was determined as 0.77 whereas the Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient of the scale used in this study was found to be 0.51.

2.2.2. The diabetes attitude scale

The attitudes of health care professionals about diabetes are often inappropriate and these attitudes cause undesirable consequences for the patient. Therefore, the Diabetes Attitude Scale (DAS) is a five-point Likert scale developed by the National Diabetes Commission in 1975, in the United States to identify the facilitations and barriers to adherence to the treatment regimen of the person diagnosed with diabetes. The validity and reliability of the scale in Turkish was confirmed by Özcan [13]. The Scale with a total of 34 items consisted of 7 sub-dimensions, namely, "The Need for Special Training to Provide Diabetes Care", "Attitudes Towards Patient's Compliance", "Severity of Type-2 Diabetes", "Blood Glucose Level Control and Associated Complications", "The Impact of Diabetes on Patient Life", "Attitudes Towards Patient's Autonomy" and "Attitudes Towards Team Care". The overall Diabetes Attitude Score is calculated by summing the scores of all scale items and dividing by 34. A total mean DAS score greater than 3 indicates a positive attitude whereas a score of 3 and below indicates a negative attitude. The Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient of the scale used by Özcan [13] was determined as 0.70. The Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient of the scale used in this study was found to be 0.82.

2.3. Data collection procedure and ethics

Research data were collected by face-to-face interviews conducted by the researchers with the patients. The patients participating in the study were first informed about the study, and permission was sought. Thereafter a questionnaire and scales were applied to them. The patients were further informed that the decision about whether or not to participate in the study was entirely their own free will, that the names of the patients would be anonymized, and that the data collected from this study would be used exclusively for the study. Data collection took approximately 15 minutes.

2.4. Data analysis

The data of the patients included in the study were analyzed digitally using the SPSS 21.0 software. Kolmogorov Smirnov test was performed to determine whether quantitative data meet acceptable levels of normality. t-test and ANOVA were used in the further analysis of normally

distributed data. Kruskal Wallis test and Mann Whitney U tests were used in the analysis of data that did not show a normal distribution. The results were presented as frequency, percentage, median, minimum maximum. The correlation between the scales and their sub-dimensions was examined by Spearman correlation analysis. The significance level was taken as p<0.05.

3. Results

The distribution of socio-demographic characteristics of the patients participating in the study was presented in Table 1. It was determined that 59,5% of the patients were women, 40,5% were male, 83,9% were married, 40,9% were secondary school graduates, 95,5% had social security insurance, 46,3% lived in the district and 57,4% of them had income equal to their expenses (Table I).

DISTRIBUTION OF THE SO	CIO-DEMOGRAPHIC CHARAC	TERISTICS OF THE PA	TIENTS (N=242)
		n	%
Age Groups	21-37 years of age	15	6,2
Mean Age	38-54 years of age	47	19,4
63,0 (21-88)	55-71 years of age	123	50,8
	72-88 years of age	57	23,6
Gender	Female	144	59,5
	Male	98	40,5
Marital Status	Married	203	83,9
	Single	39	16,1
Social Security Insurance	Yes	231	95,5
	No	11	4,5
Education	Literate	40	16,5
	Primary School	20	8,3
	Secondary School	99	40,9
	High School	33	13,6
	University	50	20,7
Profession	Housewife	129	53,3
	Public official	16	6,6
	Retired	58	24,0
	Self-employed	39	16,1
Place of residence	City	93	38,4
	District	112	46,3
	Village	37	15,3
Socioeconomic Status	Income below the	20	157
	expenses	50	15,7
	Income equal to the	139	57.4
	expenses	133	57,4
	Income above the	65	26.9
	expenses	00	20,5

n: number of the patients, %: percentage

The clinical characteristics of the patients and the distribution of their health habits are presented in Table II. It was determined that 96.7% of the patients were diagnosed with Type-2 Diabetes, 77.7% had a chronic disease other than diabetes, 36.4% suffered a diabetes-related health problem, 44.6% received oral anti-diabetic medication and 33.5% received insulin therapy. It was further determined that 74% of the patients included in the research received prior training on diabetes, 90.9% used their medications regularly, 21.1% regularly did exercises, 17.4% smoked, and 7% consumed alcohol.

		n	%
Type of Diabetes	Type-1 Diabetes	8	3,3
	Type-II Diabetes	234	96,7
How long have they been diagnosed with	1-10 yrs	122	50,4
Diabetes?	11-20 yrs	77	31,8
	21-30 yrs	37	15,3
Any Disease other than Diabetes	31-40 yrs	5 199	2,5 77 7
Any Disease other than Diabetes	No	54	22.3
Accompanying Diseases other than	Cardiovascular System Diseases	221	91.3
Diabetes*	Renal Diseases	42	17,4
	Respiratory System Diseases	9	3,7
	Cancers	6	2,5
	Musculoskeletal/Joint Disorders	15	6,2
Diabetes Treatment	Oral Anti-diabetics	108	44,6
	Insulin	81	33,5
	Both Insulin and Oral Anti-diabetics	45	18,6
Diabetes-related Health Problems	Yes	88	36,4
	No	154	63,6
If yes, please indicate Diabetes-related	Diabetic Foot	24	27,3
Health Problems*	Retinopathy	28	31,8
	Nephropathy	27	30,7
	Neuropathy	8	9,1
	Hypoglycemic Attack	1	1,1
	Yes	220	90,9
Using the Medication Regularly?	No	22	9,1
Prior Diabetes Training	Yes	179	74,0
	No	63	26,0
Health Perception	Good	103	42,6
	Moderate	115	47,5
	Poor	24	9,9
Status of Regular Health Check-up	Disrupts Health Checks	70	28,9
	Once every three months	91	37,6
	Once every six months	55	22,7
	Once a year	26	10,7
Smoking	Yes	42	17,4
	No	200	82,6
Alcohol Consumption	Yes	17	7,0
	No	225	93,0
Regular Evercise	Yes	51	21,1
INERGIAL EVELOSE	No	191	78,9

TABLE II CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND HEALTH HABITS OF THE PATIENTS

*More than one option is marked.

The median total score of the patients on the Perception of Health Scale (PHS) was 50 (30-71). Median scores of the patients in the "Center of Control", "Self-Awareness", "Certainty" and "Importance of Health" sub-dimensions were determined as 17(5-25), 11(3-15), 12(4-20) and 13(3-15)

respectively. The median total score of the patients on the Diabetes Attitude Scale (DAS) was determined as 4,1 (3-5) (Table III).

TABLE III
MEDIAN TOTAL SCORES AND CRONBACH ALPHA RELIABILITY COEFFICIENTS OF THE DIABETES
ATTITUDE SCALE AND THE PERCEPTION OF HEALTH SCALE (PHS)

Scales	Med (Min-Max)	Cronbach Alpha Reliability Coefficients
The Diabetes Attitude Scale	4,1 (3 - 5)	0,826
The Perception of Health Scale (PHS)	50,0 (30-71)	0,514
Center of Control sub-dimension of PHS	17,0 (5-25)	0,809
Self-Awareness sub-dimension of PHS	11,0 (3-15)	0,688
Certainty sub-dimension of PHS	12,0 (4-20)	0,824
Importance of Health sub-dimension of PHS	13,0 (3-15)	0,716

Med: Median, Min: Minimum, Max: Maximum

Socio-demographic characteristics of the patients participating in the study and their median total scores on the Diabetes Attitude Scale were compared in Table 4. Median total scores of the patients on the Diabetes Attitude Scale were found to differ according to their marital status (p=0,043, U=3149) (p<0,05) (Table IV).

 TABLE IV

 COMPARISON OF THE SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PATIENTS WITH THEIR

 MEDIAN TOTAL SCORES IN THE DIABETES ATTITUDE SCALE

		Med (Min-Max) W. A ± S. D	p Value Test Value	
Age Groups	21-37 years of age	4,1 (3 - 5)		
	38-54 years of age	4 (3 - 5)	p= 0,952	
	55-71 years of age	4,1 (3 - 5)	χ²= 0,343	
	72-88 years of age	4,1 (3 - 5)		
	Female	4,1 (3 - 5)	p= 0,181	
Gender	Male	4 (3 - 5)	U= 6340,5	
Education	Literate	4,1 ± 0,5		
	Primary School	4 ± 0,4		
	Secondary School 4 ± 0,4		p= 0,902	
	High School	4,1 ± 0,4	1 - 0,203	
	University	4,1 ± 0,4		
Profession	Housewife	4,1 (3 - 5)		
	Public official	4,1 (4 - 5)	p= 0,263	
	Retired	4,1 (3 - 5)	χ²= 3,990	
	Self-employed	4 (3 - 5)		
Marital Status	Married	4,1 (3 - 5)	p= 0,043	
	Single	4,3 (3 - 5)	U= 3149	
Socioeconomic Status	Income below the expenses	4,3 (3 - 5)	p= 0,442	
	Income equal to the expenses	4 (3 - 5)	χ²= 10,888	

	Income above the expenses	4,2 (3 - 5)		
Social Security Insurance Yes		4,1 ± 0,4	p= 0,486	
	No	4 ± 0,5	t= 0,352	
Place of residence	City	4,2 (3 - 5)	0.004	
	District	4 (3 - 5)	p=0,204 $v^2=3.182$	
	Village	4 (3 - 5)	χ = 3,182	

W.A ± S.D Weighted Average ± Standard Deviation, χ^2 :Kruskal Wallis Test Statistics, U: Mann Whitney U test Statistics, F: One Way ANOVA Test Statistics, t: Independent sample t-test Statistics.

Clinical characteristics of the patients participating in the study and their median total scores on the Diabetes Attitude Scale were compared in Table 5. Median total scores of the patients on the Diabetes Attitude Scale were found to differ according to their status of using the medication regularly (p=0,048, U=1800,5) and whether they had prior training on Diabetes (p<0,001, t=4,138) (p<0,05) (Table V).

TABLE V COMPARISON OF THE CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PATIENTS WITH THEIR MEDIAN TOTAL SCORES IN THE DIABETES ATTITUDE SCALE

		Med (Min-Max) W.A ± S.D	p Value Test Value	
Type of diabetes	Type-1 Diabetes	4,1 (4 - 5)	p= 0,702	
	Type-II Diabetes	4,1 (3 - 5)	U= 761,5	
Any disease other than diabetes mellitus	Yes	4,1 (3 - 5)	p=0,204	
	No	4 (3 - 5)	U=4500	
How long have they been diagnosed with	1-10 yrs	4,1 (3 - 5)		
diabetes mellitus?	11-20 yrs	4,1 (3 - 5)	p= 0,552	
	21-30 yrs	3,9 (3 - 5)	χ²= 32,291	
	31-40 yrs	4 (4 - 5)		
Using the medication regularly?	Yes	4,1 (3 - 5)	p= 0,048	
	No	3,8 (3 - 5)	U= 1800,5	
Diabetes-related health problems	Yes	4,1 (3 - 5)	p= 0,347	
	No	4 (3 - 5)	U= 6284	
Prior diabetes mellitus training	Yes	4,1 ± 0,4	p< 0,001	
	No	3,9 ± 0,4	t= 4,138	
Health Perception	Good	4,1 (3 - 5)	- 0.051	
	Moderate	4 (3 - 5)	p=0,051 $v^2=5.934$	
	Poor	4,4 (3 - 5)	χ 3,333 1	
Smoking	Yes	4 (3 - 5)	p= 0,134	
	No	4,1 (3 - 5)	χ ² = 3582	
Alcohol consumption	Yes	3,9 (3 - 5)	p=0,222	
	No	4,1 (3 - 5)	U= 1572,5	
Regular exercise	Yes	4,1 (3 - 5)	p= 0,060	
	No	4 (3 - 5)	U= 4036	

W.A ± S.D Weighted Average ± Standard Deviation, U: Mann Whitney U test Statistics, t: Independent sample t-test Statistics, χ^2 :Kruskal Wallis Test Statistics, F: One Way ANOVA Test Statistics

Socio-demographic characteristics of the patients and their median total scores in the Perception of Health Scale (PHS) and its sub-dimensions were compared in Table 6. No significant differences were observed in the median total scores of the patients in the Perception of Health Scale

(PHS) and the Importance of Health sub-dimension by their socio-demographic characteristics (p>0,05). It was determined, however, that the median scores of the patients in the "Control Center" sub-dimension of the Perception of Health Scale differed by their socioeconomic status (χ^2 =10,677, p<0,05); their median scores in the "Self-Awareness" sub-dimension differed by their socioeconomic status (χ^2 =17,382, p<0,01) and the availability of the social security insurance (U=498,5, p<0,01). It was further determined that the median scores of the patients in the "Certainty" sub-dimension differed by their gender (U=5131, p<0,01), profession (χ^2 =25,439, p<0,01), and socioeconomic status (χ^2 =18,452, p<0,01) (Table VI).

TABLE VI
COMPARISON OF THE SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PATIENTS WITH THEIF
MEDIAN SCORES IN THE SUB-DIMENSIONS OF THE PERCEPTION OF HEALTH SCALE (PHS)

Characteristics	Control Center	Self-Awareness	Certainty	Importance of Health	Total PHS Score
	Med (Min-Max)	Med (Min-Max)	Med (Min-Max)	Med (Min-Max)	Med (Min-Max)
Age Groups					
21-37 years of age	13,0 (8-25)	12,0 (3-15)	12,0 (7-15)	13,0 (8-15)	49,0 (44-62)
38-54 years of age	16,0 (8-24)	11,0 (4-15)	12,0 (4-19)	13,0 (7-15)	49,0 (37-64)
55-71 years of age	17,0 (5-25)	11,0 (5-15)	11,0 (4-20)	12,0 (3-15)	50,0 (30-71)
72-88 years of age	16,0 (7-25)	11,0 (4-14)	12,0 (4-20)	13,0 (5-15)	50,0 (34-61)
χ^2	2,083	1,225	0,818	2,591	2,034
Р	0,353	0,542	0,664	0,274	0,565
Gender			•		
Female	17,0 (7-25)	11,0 (4-15)	12,0 (4-20)	13,0 (5-15)	50,0 (30-71)
Male	16,0 (5-25)	12,0 (3-15)	10,0 (4-18)	13,0 (3-15)	48,5 (33-67)
U	6592	6025	5131	6874,5	5785,0
Р	0,384	0,052	<0,001	0,731	0,017
Education					
Literate	15,5 (9-25)	12,0 (5-15)	12,0 (4-16)	13,0 (6-15)	50,5 (41-64)
Primary School	16,5 (10-25)	11,0 (6-14)	11,5 (6-17)	12,0 (9-15)	50,5 (44-57)
Secondary School	16,0 (5-25)	11,0 (5-15)	12,0 (4-20)	12,0 (5-15)	50,0 (30-71)
High School	18,0 (8-25)	12,0 (4-14)	11,0 (7-18)	12,0 (3-15)	50,0 (37-64)
University	17,0 (7-24)	11,0 (3-15)	12,0 (4-20)	12,0 (5-15)	50,0 (33-62)
χ ²	0,195	3,243	0,774	3,287	2,206
Р	0,907	0,198	0,679	0,193	0,698
Profession			•		
Housewife	17,0 (7-25)	11,0 (4-15)	12,0 (5-20) A	13,0 (5-15)	50,0 (34-71)
Public official	13,0 (11-23)	13,0 (8-15)	7,5 (4-13) BC	13,0 (7-15)	48,5 (36- 54)
Retired	17,0 (5-25)	11,0 (4-15)	9,0 (4-18) C	12,0 (3-15)	49,0 (30- 67)
Self-employed	16,0 (7-25)	11,0 (3-15)	12,0 (4-16) A	13,0 (6-15)	49,0 (42- 64)
χ ²	2,785	5,481	25,439	4,458	3,088
Р	0,426	0,140	<0,001	0,216	0,378
Marital Status	Γ	Γ	Τ		
Married	16 (5 - 25)	11 (4 - 15)	12 (4 - 20)	13 (3 - 15)	50,0 (33-71)
Single	18 (9 - 25)	11 (3 - 15)	11 (4 - 18)	13 (5 - 15)	50,0 (30- 62)
U	3257	3523,5	3877	3680	3410,5
Р	0,079	0,273	0,838	0,488	0,170
Place of residence	Γ	ſ	1		
City	16,0 (5-25)	12,0 (5-15)	12,0 (4-18)	13,0 (7-15)	51,0 (33-62)
District	17,0 (7-25)	11,0 (4-15)	11,5 (4-20)	13,0 (3-15)	49,0 (30-71)
Village	18,0 (9-24)	11,0 (3-15)	12,0 (6-20)	12,0 (5-15)	50,0 (37-61)
χ ²	1,683	2,639	0,978	1,564	1,776
Р	0,431	0,267	0,613	0,457	0,411
Socioeconomic Status					

Income below the expenses	20,5 (10-25) A	9,0 (4-14) A	13,0 (5-20) A	11,0 (83-15)	53,0 (40-71)
Income equal to the expenses	16,0 (7-25) B	11,0 (3-15) A	12,0 (4-18) A	13,0 (5-15)	50,0 (30-67)
Income above the expenses	17,0 (5-25) B	12,0 (6-15) B	9,0 (4-19) B	13,0 (7-15)	50,0 (33-64)
χ^2	10,677	17,382	18,452	5,878	2,326
Р	0,005	<0,001	<0,001	0,053	0,313
Social Security Insurance	e				
Yes	17 (5 - 25)	11 (4 - 15)	12 (4 - 20)	13 (3 - 15)	50,0 (30-71)
No	17 (10 - 23)	7 (3 - 12)	13 (7 - 20)	11 (5 - 14)	47,0 (37-60)
U	1164	498,5	932,5	602	959,5
Р	0,638	0,001	0,135	0,003	0,170

 χ^2 .Kruskal Wallis Test Statistics, U: Mann Whitney U test Statistics A-B-C: No difference was observed between groups denoted with the same letters.

Clinical characteristics of the patients and their median total scores in the Perception of Health Scale (PHS) and its sub-dimensions were compared in Table 7. It was determined that the median total scores of the patients on the Perception of Health Scale differed by their health perception status ($\chi^2_{=}6,361$, p<0,05) and their alcohol consumption (U=1216,5, p=0,012). It was also determined that median scores of the patients in the "Control Center" sub-dimension differed by their accompanying chronic diseases other than diabetes (U=3760,5 p<0,05), diabetes-related health problems (U=5014,5, p<0,01) and their health perception status ($\chi^2_{=}7$, 797, p=0,020). Median scores of the patients in the "Self-Awareness" sub-dimension were found to differ by whether they received any prior training on diabetes (U=3035,5 p<0,01). Median scores of the patients in the "Certainty" sub-dimension were found to differ by whether they received any prior training on diabetes (U=4377,5, p<0,01). Median scores of the patients in the "Certainty" sub-dimension were found to differ by whether they received any prior training on diabetes (U=4388,5, p<0,01) and whether they regularly did exercise (U=3711, p<0,01) and finally median scores of the patients in the "Importance of Health" sub-dimension were found to differ by whether they received any prior training on diabetes (U=4315,5, p<0,01), their health perception status ($\chi^2_{=}6,262$, p=0,044) and whether they regularly did exercise (U=3830,5 p=0,018) (Table VII)

TABLE VII
COMPARISON OF THE CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PATIENTS WITH THEIR SCORES IN THE SUB-
DIMENSIONS OF THE PERCEPTION OF HEALTH SCALE

Characteristics	Control Center	Self-Awareness	Certainty	Importance of Health	Total PHS Score
	Med (Min-Max)	Med (Min-Max)	Med (Min-Max)	Med (Min-Max)	Med (Min-Max)
Type of Diabetes					
Type-1 Diabetes	21,0 (9-25)	9,0 (3-15)	12,0 (7-15)	13,5 (11-15)	53,0 (47-62)
Type-II Diabetes	16,5 (5-25)	11,0 (4-15)	12,0 (4-20)	13,0 (3-15)	50,0 (30-71)
U	592,5	618	863	658,5	676
р	0,077	0,099	0,707	0,149	0,181
Any Disease other t	han Diabetes				
Yes	17,0 (5-25)	11,0 (4-15)	12,0 (4-20)	12,5 (3-15)	50,0 (30-71)
No	14,0 (9-23)	11,0 (3-15)	12,0 (4-18)	13,0 (5-15)	48,5 (42-64)
U	3760,5	4463,5	5011,5	4489,5	4363
р	0,004	0,173	0,886	0,191	0,115
How long have they been diagnosed					
with Diabetes?					
1-10 yrs	16,5 (7-25)	11,0 (4-15)	12,0 (4-20)	13,0 (3-15)	50,0 (34-67)
11-20 yrs	16,0 (5-25)	11,0 (3-15)	10,0 (4-20)	13,0 (5-15)	50,0 (30-71)
21-30 yrs	18,0 (8-25)	10,0 (6-14)	12,0 (4-16)	12 (6-15)	50,0 (42-62)
31-40 yrs	16,5 (9-23)	11,0 (4-14)	13,5 (4-13)	13,0 (7-14)	50,0 (36-56)

χ ²	0,684	3,689	5,415	1,444	3,653
р	0,877	0,297	0,144	0,695	0,301
Using the Medicatio	on Regularly?				
Yes	16,5 (5 - 25)	11 (3 - 15)	12 (4 - 20)	13 (5 - 15)	50,0 (30-71)
No	19,5 (7 - 25)	10 (5 - 14)	14 (4 - 20)	11 (3 - 15)	50,5 (33-64)
U	1948	1912	2073	1773	2366
р	0,131	0,102	0,266	0,037	0,863
Diabetes-related He	ealth Problems		•		
Yes	18,0 (7-25)	11,0 (4-15)	10,0 (4-19)	12,0 (5-15)	50,0 (30-64)
No	16,0 (5-25)	11,0 (3-15)	12,0 (4-20)	13,0 (3-15)	49,5 (34-71)
U	5014,5	6069,5	5782	6284	6196,5
р	0,001	0,174	0,057	0,342	0,268
Prior Diabetes Train	ning				
Yes	16,0 (5-25)	11,0 (3-15)	11,0 (4-20)	13,0 (5-15)	50,0 (30-64)
No	17,0 (7-25)	10,0 (4-14)	13,0 (5-20)	12,0 (3-15)	50,0 (33-71)
U	5203	4377,5	4388,5	4315,5	5505,0
р	0,361	0,008	0,009	0,005	0,780
Status of Regular He	ealth Check-up				
Disrupts Health Checks	17,5 (7-25)	12,0 (5-15)	11,5 (5-20)	13,0 (6-15)	51,0 (33-67)
Once every three months	15,0 (5-25)	11,0 (6-15)	12,0 (4-20)	13,0 (5-15)	50,0 (30-71)
Once every six months	16,0 (8-25)	10,0 (3-15)	12,0 (4-17)	12,0 (5-15)	50,0 (36- 62)
Once a year	17,0 (10-25)	11,0 (5-14)	12,0 (4-17)	11,0 (3-15)	48,0 (41-64)
χ ²	3,156	8,175	1,406	7,916	2,667
р	0,368	0,043	0,704	0,048	0,446
Health Perception					
Good	17,0 (5-25) AB	12,0 (3-15) A	11,0 (4-19)	13,0 (3-15) A	51,0 (30-67) A
Moderate	15,0 (7-25) A	10,0 (5-15) B	12,0 (4-20)	12,0 (5-15) B	49,0 (33- 62) B
Poor	18,5 (8-25) B	10,5 (4-14) AB	10,0 (4-20)	13,0 (6-15) AB	50,0 (37- 71) AB
χ ²	7,797	14,347	4,775	6,262	6,361
р	0,020	0,001	0,092	0,044	0,042
Smoking	Γ	[T	[
Yes	18,0 (7-25)	11,0 (3-15)	10,5 (4-16)	12,0 (6-15)	48,0 (33-62)
No	16,5 (5-25)	11,0 (4-15)	12,0 (4-20)	13,0 (3-15)	50,0 (30- 71)
U	3737,5	3957,5	3596,5	3511	3669,5
p	0,261	0,553	0,142	0,091	0,198
Alcohol Consumptio	on				
Yes	14,0 (7-25)	11,0 (6-14)	9,0 (4-16)	11,0 (7-15)	46,0 (33- 59)
No	17,0 (5-25)	11,0 (3-15)	12,0 (4-20)	13,0 (3-15)	50,0 (30- 71)
U	1666	1827	1405	1399	1216,5
p Danula 5	0,375	0,757	0,067	0,062	0,012
Regular Exercise					
Yes	14,0 (7-25)	12,0 (3-15)	10,0 (4-18)	13,0 (3-15)	50,0 (30- 64)
INO	17,0 (5-25)	11,0 (4-15)	12,0 (4-20)	12,0 (5-15)	50,0 (33- /1)
U	4008,5	3035,5	3711	3830,5	4605,0
р	0,052	<0,001	0,009	0,018	0,549

 $\chi^2_:$ Kruskal Wallis Test Statistics, U: Mann Whitney U test Statistics.

Correlation between the Perception of Health Scale and the Diabetes Attitude Scale is presented in Table 8. In this study, a positive however statistically weak correlation was found between the patients' total scores on the Perception of Health Scale (PHS) and the Diabetes Attitude Scale (r=0.155, p<0.05) (Table VIII). It was further determined that the positive attitudes of the patients towards diabetes improved as the health perception of the patients increased.

Sub-dimensions of the Scale	The Diabetes Attitude Scale		
Importance of Health	r= 0.304	p<0.001	
Certainty	r= -0.206	p=0.001	
Self-Awareness	r= 0.292	p<0.001	
Control Center	r=0.061	p=0.343	
Total PHS Score	r=0.155	p=0.016	

ΤА	BI	F	ν	Ш	
.,,			•	••	

CORRELATION BETWEEN THE PERCEPTION OF HEALTH SCALE AND THE DIABETES ATTITUDE SCALE

Spearmen's correlation coefficient. *p<0.05, **p < 0.001

4. Discussion

Findings obtained in this study, which was conducted to determine the correlation between diabetes attitudes and health perceptions of diabetes patients receiving treatment in a university hospital located in the Central Black Sea Region in northern Turkey, were discussed in line with the relevant literature.

The median total score of patients with diabetes on the Diabetes Attitude Scale was determined as 4.1 (3-5). A median total score above 3 (three) in the Diabetes Attitude Scale was interpreted as patients having a positive attitude. In the literature review conducted for the study, the median total score of the patients participating in the research of Rashidi and Genç in the Diabetes Attitude Scale was determined as 3.8±0.3 [14] whereas this median score was determined as 3.5 (2.5-4.4) by Akaltun and Ersin [15] and as 3.99±0.30 by Aydoğan et al. [16]. The patient needs to have positive attitudes towards diabetes for the diabetes treatment management to be successful, for the patient to adapt to the nutrition, medical treatment, and exercise programs, and to be able to assume their care [17].

The median total score of the patients included in the study in the Diabetes Attitude Scale was found to differ according to their marital status, status of using their medication regularly, and whether they had prior training on Diabetes; accordingly single patients who have regularly used their medications and received prior training on diabetes were found to have positive attitudes towards diabetes. Rashidi and Genç determined, in their study carried out to evaluate the diabetes attitudes of patients diagnosed with Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes, that the diabetes attitudes of patients who complied with medical treatment were positive [14, 18]. In the study carried out by Karakurt et al. examining the knowledge levels and attitudes of diabetic patients about their disease, it was determined that the total Diabetes Attitude Scale score of the patients differed according to whether they used their medications regularly [19,20].

In another study carried out by Aydoğan et al. [16] to examine the factors affecting the knowledge level and attitudes of Type-2 diabetes patients about their disease, it was found that patients who received prior training on diabetes had positive attitudes towards diabetes [13]. Contrary to the research findings here, Dinç and Ovayolu [21] reported that patients who received prior training on diabetes developed negative attitudes towards diabetes . Negative or positive attitudes developed by the patients following their training on diabetes may be attributed to the content of the training provided or the qualifications of the nurses providing the training.

It was determined in this study that the total score of the patients on the Perception of Health Scale differed by their health perception status and their alcohol consumption status. It was observed that patients who perceived their health status as good and did not consume alcohol had higher health perceptions. For their study in which they examined the health perceptions and health-promoting lifestyle profiles of coronary artery patients, Gür and Sunal [22] reported that the health perceptions of the patients were above the average and, contrary to our research findings, the health perceptions of those who consumed alcohol were higher than those who did not. The literature review revealed that the health perception level of patients differed by factors such as their gender, family relationships [22,23], profession, body mass index [22], chronic disease status, number of chronic diseases, exercising and healthy dietary habits [24,25], smoking, alcohol consumption [22, 25], regular visits to a physician and regularly having been checked up [22].

In this study, a statistically significant relationship was determined between median total scores in the Diabetes Attitude Scale and the Perception of Health Scale (r=0.155, p<0.05). It was further determined that the positive attitudes of the patients towards diabetes improved as their health perceptions increased. For diabetes management to be effective and for the patients' adaptation to care and treatment instructions, the patients should have sufficient knowledge, skills, and attitudes toward diabetes. For the patients to develop positive health behaviors, it is important to ensure that patients have positive attitudes and to consider their health perception levels [26-28]. Accordingly, it is recommended to plan and implement nursing care practices from a holistic and humanistic perspective and to provide training and counseling in line with patient needs so that diabetes patients can lead a quality life and improve their well-being.

5. Conclusion

In this study, the median total score of patients with diabetes in the Diabetes Attitude Scale was determined as 4.1 (3-5). It was determined that the total median score of the patients in the Diabetes Attitude Scale differed according to some socio-demographic and professional characteristics of the nurses (p<0.05). The median total score on the Perception of Health Scale (PHS) was determined as 50.0 (30-71). It was observed that patients who perceived their health status as good and did not consume alcohol had higher health perceptions.

It was determined in this study that there is a positive however statistically weak correlation between the patients' total scores in the Perception of Health Scale (PHS) and the Diabetes Attitude Scale and that patients with positive attitudes perceived their health better. In line with the findings obtained from the research, nurses are recommended to plan diabetes-related training aiming to improve the quality of life of patients diagnosed with diabetes, to follow up with the patients periodically after the training, and to ensure the cooperation of the patient's relatives in these training.

References

- [1] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *What is diabetes?* (2023, April 24). https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/basics/diabetes.html
- [2] R. Gillibranda & J. Stevenson, "The extended health belief model applied to the experience of diabetes in young people," *British Journal of Health Psychology*, vol. 11, pp. 155-169, 2006. <u>https://bpspsychub.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1348/135910705X39485</u>
- [3] Ş. Üstündağ, & N. Dayapoğlu, "Tip 2 diyabetli bireylerin hastalık yönetiminde karşılaştıkları engellerin değerlendirilmesi," Adnan Menderes Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 514-533, 2021. <u>https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/amusbfd/issue/65164/918810</u>
- [4] J.B. Hannah & J. Alberts, "Motivators and barriers to attending a diabetes education class and its impact on beliefs. behaviors, and control over diabetes," *Geriatric Nursing*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 50-58, 2005. <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S019745720400429X</u>
- [5] Y. Tokem, E. Taşcı & M. Yılmaz, "Hipertansiyon tanısı olan bireylerin evde hastalık yönetimlerinin incelenmesi," Türk Kardiyol Dern Kardiyovasküler Hemşirelik Dergisi, vol. 4, pp. 30–40, 2013. <u>http://jag.journalagent.com/z4/vi.asp?pdir=kvhd&un=KVHD-44153</u>
- [6] D.D. AlThubaity, & A.Y. Mahdy Shalby "Perception of Health Teams on the Implementation of Strategies to Decrease Nursing Errors and Enhance Patient Safety," J Multidiscip Healthc, vol. 16, pp. 693-706, 2023 <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S401966</u>

- [7] M. M. Nabolsi, "Perception of diabetes management and cardiovascular disease risk among men with type 2 diabetes: A qualitative study," *Nursing open*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 832-840, 2020. <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/nop2.458</u>
- [8] H. Kadıoğlu, & A. Yıldız, "Sağlık Algısı Ölçeği'nin Türkçe Çevriminin Geçerlilik ve Güvenilirliği," *Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Medical Sciences*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 47-53, 2012. <u>https://search.proquest.com/openview/ae5540648b16b96fef1cb425219e6e24/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=236265</u>
- [9] Z. Kaya, "Kadınların sağlık algısı ile serviks kanserini erken tanılamaya yönelik tutumları arasındaki ilişkinin belirlenmesi" Master's thesis, İnönü Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Turkey, 2022. http://abakus.inonu.edu.tr/xmlui/handle/11616/85497
- [10] R. Carpenter, T. DiChiacchio & K. Barker, "Interventions for self-management of type 2 diabetes: an integrative review," *International journal of nursing sciences*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 70-91., 2019. <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352013218303429</u>
- [11] S. Tamiru, M., Dugassa, B., Amsalu, K., Bidira, L., Bacha, & D. Tsegaye, (2023). "Effects of Nurse-Led diabetes Self-Management education on Self-Care knowledge and Self-Care behavior among adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus attending diabetes follow up clinic: A Quasi-Experimental study design," International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences, vol. 18, p. 100548. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214139123000239
- [12] J.J. Diamond, et al., "Development of a scale to measure adults' perceptions of health: Preliminary findings," *Journal of Community Psychology*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 557-561, 2007. <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jcop.20164</u>
- [13] H.Ş. Özcan, "Diyabetli Hastalarda Hastalığa Uyumu Etkileyen Faktörlerin Değerlendirilmesi," İÜ Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Doktora Tezi, İstanbul, Turkey, 1999.
- [14] M. Rashidi, & A. Genç, "Tip 1 ve tip 2 diyabetli hastaların diyabet tutumlarının değerlendirilmesi," *İstanbul Gelişim Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi*, vol. 10, pp. 34-49, 2020.
- [15] H. Akaltun, & F. Ersin, "Evde bakım hizmeti alan diyabetli hastaların diyabet tutum ve davranışlarının belirlenmesi," *Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Hemşirelik Fakültesi Elektronik Dergisi*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 126-133, 2016. <u>https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/deuhfed/issue/46793/586769</u>
- [16] B. Aydoğan, A. Aydın, M. B. İnci, & H. Ekerbiçer, "Tip 2 Diyabet Hastalarının Hastalıklarıyla İlgili Bilgi, Tutum Düzeyleri ve İlişkili Faktörlerin Değerlendirilmesi," *Sakarya Tıp Dergisi*, vol. 10(special edition), pp. 11-23, 2020. <u>https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/smj/issue/55427/743455</u>
- [17] A. Baykal, & S. Kapucu, "Tip 2 diyabetes mellituslu hastaların tedavilerine uyumlarının değerlendirilmesi," *Hacettepe Üniversitesi Hemşirelik Fakültesi Dergisi*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 44-58, 2015. <u>https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/hunhemsire/issue/7859/103408</u>
- [18] Ö. Fidan, S. Takmak, A. S. Zeyrek, & A. Kartal, "Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: Obstacles in coping," *Journal of Nursing Research*, vol. 28, no. 4, p. e105, 2020. <u>https://journals.lww.com/jnrtwna/fulltext/2020/08000/patients with type 2 diabetes mellitu</u> s obstacles.8.aspx
- [19] S. Jiang, Z. Zhu, G. Liao, Y. Huang, L. Li, K. Zeng, "Relationship Between Medication Literacy and Beliefs Among Persons with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Guangdong, China," *Patient Prefer Adherence*, vol. 17, pp. 2039-2050, 2023. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S420383</u>
- [20] P. Karakurt, R. Hacıhasanoğlu Aşılar, A. Yıldırım, & H. Sevinç, "Diyabetli hastaların hastalıkları hakkındaki bilgi düzeyleri ve tutumları," *European Journal of Therapeutics*, vol. 23, pp. 165-72, 2017.

https://go.gale.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA559832350&sid=googleScholar&v=2.1&it=r&linkacce ss=abs&issn=25647784&p=AONE&sw=w

- [21] F. Dinç, & N. Ovayolu, "Tip 2 diyabetli hastaların ve diyabetik hastalara bakım veren hemşirelerin hastalığa karşı tutumları," *Sağlık Bilimleri ve Klinik Araştırmaları Dergisi,* vol. 1, no. 1, 2022.
- [22] G. Gür, & N. Sunal, "Koroner Arter Hastalarında Sağlık Algısı ve Sağlıklı Yaşam Biçimi Davranışlarının Belirlenmesi," Sağlık Bilimleri ve Meslekleri Dergisi, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 210-219, 2019. <u>https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/hsp/issue/45569/420313</u>

- [23] B. Altay, F. Çavuşoğlu, & A. Çal, "Yaşlıların sağlık algısı, yaşam kalitesi ve sağlıkla ilgili yaşam kalitesini etkileyen faktörler," *TAF Preventive Medicine Bulletin*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 181-189, 2016.
- [24] K. Morinishi, A. Chikada, M. Ogura, N. Inagaki, & K. Nin, "Psychological Factors Motivating Male Japanese Workers With Type 2 Diabetes to Engage in Dietary Modifications: A Qualitative Descriptive Study," SAGE Open Nursing, 9, 2023. doi:10.1177/23779608231194412
- [25] S. K. Şen, & Y. K. "Öztürk, Sağlık algısı ile kanser taraması farkındalığı arasındaki ilişki," Türkiye Aile Hekimliği Dergisi, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 175-183, 2020. https://jag.journalagent.com/z4/download fulltext.asp?pdir=tahd&plng=eng&un=TAHD-32042
- [26] T.M. Rintala, P. Jaatinen, E. Paavilainen, P. Astedt-Kurki, "InterrelationBetween Adult Persons with Diabetes and Their Family: A Systematic Review of the literature," *J Fam Nurs*, vol. 19, pp. 3–21, 2013. <u>https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1074840712471899</u>
- [27] İ. Kaynak, & Ü. Polat, "Diabetes mellitus' lu hastaların tamamlayıcı ve alternatif tedavileri kullanma durumları ve diyabet tutumları ile ilişkisi," *Genel Tip Dergisi*, vol. 27, no. 2, 2017. <u>https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/geneltip/issue/66987/1046861</u>
- [28] B. Vardar İnkaya, & E. Karadağ, "Tip 2 Diyabetli bireylerin hastalıkları ve tedavilerine yönelik tutumlarını etkileyen faktörler," *Diyabet Obezite ve Hipertansiyonda Hemşirelik Forumu*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1-8, 2011.